

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,291	01/14/2002	Takayuki Nakajima	9683/100	2536
75	12/09/2005		EXAMINER	
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione P O Box 10395		BADII, BEHRANG		
Chicago, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/031,291	NAKAJIMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Behrang Badii	3621	

	Behrang Badii	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 0 TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	·		•
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	OTE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beto 	• •	roducina or cimplifyina	the iccurs for
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially	reducing or simplifying	tile issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-0	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·		-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		will be entered and an o	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .			•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t hefere or on the date of filing o	Notice of Appeal will be	t he entered
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
The arguments are not persuasive.	in account of place and application		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	· No(s)	
		1. 1	1
		Hollo June	1
	PR	Julio Lui Mary Examen	EK
		and the property of the	<i>//-</i> -

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20051130